TIALS-2 RFP Questions and Answers (4)

No.	Section F	Page Question/Comment	Answer
130.	Amendment 3, Attachment P	There is no workload data provided in Attachment P for Section 7.0 of the Statement of Work (SOW). Is any workload data available for this particular area or is this area to be considered a level of effort tasking?	No to both questions.
131.	Amendment 3, Attachment P	The data provided in Attachment P for Sections 1.0 through 6.0 of the SOW, while comprehensive in some areas, does not appear to be inclusive of all work areas called out in the SOW. Are we to assume that the workload data is inclusive of all substantive work currently being performed? Further, are we to also assume that areas not represented in the workload data are of such a limited tasking level that, while being within contract scope, the offeror is to consider those areas as having such a minimal workforce impact that they should not be considered in the baseline staffing effort?	The data provided in Attachment P is the only workload data available and represents what LTID chose to measure in FY14 for work being currently performed. Different things have been measured in different fiscal years for a variety of reasons. The metrics provided are in no way indicative of the importance of the work being measured vs. that not measured.  The Government initially did not provide any workload information because it does not exist for all areas and also, the information provided with the initial RFP was considered sufficient for an offeror to develop a BOE. However, since several potential offerors requested workload data and some was available what could be provided was provided.
132.	Amendment 3, Attachment P	As an extension of question 131 above, our analysis of the workload provided in Attachment P appears to show that there is a significant delta between our assessed level of effort and the current staffing reflected in the incumbent demographics. Does the Government wish the offerors to provide their proposals based solely on the workload as provided in Attachment P? Or should the offeror consider the incumbent demographics and staffing levels in developing their staffing plans?	The Government advises offerors to take into account ALL of the information provided as part of the RFP when preparing their proposal.  It is worth noting that the incumbent contractor is performing the current TIALS effort with the staffing indicated in Attachment W.

TIALS-2 RFP Questions and Answers (4)

No.	Section	Page	Question/Comment	Answer
133.	TIALS-2 RFP Q & A (3)	3	The Contracting Officer provided Historical Workload as stated in the answer to the question - that in most instances does not map to the RFP Section C, Subtask SOW Scope, and many of the Section 1.0 Subtask PWS elements do not have any workload provided. It was additionally noted that no workload was provided for Section C.2.	Please see the response to questions 131 and 132, above.
			Request the Contracting Officer to map the workload data to the SOW or indicate if the Offerors BOE based on the requirements identified on Page L-33 can be based solely on the workload provided in Amendment A0003?	
134.	Amendment 3, Attachment P		The Historical Workload provided does not map in all cases to the Subtask SOW Scope, and some of the Historical workload for areas such as 1.4.4 Dispatch appear to be included in the Workload Table Heading for Transportation along with maintenance, road calls, and Travel.	Please see the response to questions 131 and 132, above.
			Request that the Contracting Officer provide an update Historical Workload to match the current RFP Section Subtask areas?	